

Panaji, 18th April, 1974 (Chaitra 28, 1896)

SERIES II No. 3

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

Secretariat Administration Department

Order

No. SAD/Ref.-UPSC/73-74

On the recommendations of the Union Public Service Commission, the following two candidates are appointed to the posts of Technical Assistants «Class II, Non-Gazetted» on an initial pay of Rs. 325/- per month in pay scale of Rs. 325-15-475-EB-20-575 against the posts created under Government Order No. 3-5-71-Fin(c)/1043 dated 7-7-1971.

Name of candidate	Designation of the post
1. Shri George Kuruvilla	Technical Assistant
2. Shri V. M. Dhume	— do —

They will be entitled to the usual allowance admissible under the rules.

They will be on probation for a period of two years.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. K. Bhandare, Deputy Secretary (Appointments).

Panaji, 30th March, 1974.

Order

No. SAD/PER/528

The duties of Secretary to the Legislative Assembly of Goa, Daman and Diu performed by Shri B. M. Masurkar, Secretary «Law and Legislature» will be performed by Shri M. M. Naik, Under Secretary (Legislature) with immediate effect, in addition to his own duties, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

T. Kipgen

Chief Secretary

Panaji, 4th April, 1974.

Rural Development Department

Office of the Registrar Cooperative Societies

Order

No. RES-(c)-13/Goa/LQD/71

Read: This office order No. RES-(C)-13/Goa/LQD/71 dated 7-9-71 taking the Lokmanya V. K. S. S. Society

Ltd., Morji, Pernem into liquidation in terms of Sec. 102(1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 appointing Shri R. N. Kambli V. P. Secretary, Morji, as liquidator of the said society.

In partial modification of this office order cited above Shri Sambhaji Patil, Inspector, Goa State Coop. Bank Ltd., Pernem branch is hereby appointed as liquidator of Lokmanya V. K. S. S. Society Ltd., vice Shri R. N. Kambli, V. P. Secretary, Morji, with immediate effect.

M. N. Bhartiya, Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 11th February, 1974.

Order

No. RES-(c)-43/Goa/RMC/74

Read: 1. This office order No. RES-(c)-43/Goa/RMC/73 dated 16-11-73 whereunder a Committee of 5 members under Section 78(1)(a) was appointed to manage the affairs of Thane Group V. K. S. S. Society Ltd.

2. Letter dated 7-11-73 from the Chairman, Thane Group V. K. S. S. Society Ltd., Thane sending therewith the proceeding of the annual general body meeting held on 30-9-73.

In virtue of the powers vested in me under Section 78(3) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, I, Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby terminate the present management of Thane Group V. K. S. S. Society Ltd., Thane Sattari with effect from the date the committee appointed vide this office order cited at Sr. No. 1 above, hands over the charge of the society to the newly elected managing committee.

Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 18th February, 1974.

Order

No. RSR/III/Dairy/11/74

Read: This office order No. PRD-(c)-28/Goa/RMC/72 dated 15-12-72 superseding the Managing Committee of Dairy Coop. Society Ltd., Margao under Sec. 78(1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu read with Rule 61(2) of the Coop. Societies Rules 1962.

In virtue of the powers vested in me under provisions of Sec. 78(1)(a) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, I, Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby extend the term of the Committee appointed to manage the affairs of the Dairy Coop. Society Ltd., Margao, with retrospective effect from 15-12-73 to 14-12-74.

Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 28th February, 1974.

Order

No. GEN-(c)-5/Goa/LQD/73

Read: This office order No. GEN-(c)-5/Goa/LQD/71 dated 2nd April 1973 appointing Shri M. T. Verlekar, Jr. Auditor Coop. Societies, Pernem as liquidator of Arambol Fishery Coop. Society Ltd., Arambol Pernem.

In partial modification of this office order referred to above Shri P. M. Borkar, E.O. Pernem is hereby appointed as liquidator of Arambol Fishery Coop. Society Ltd., Arambol Pernem in place of Shri M. T. Verlekar Special Recovery Officer Coop. Societies with effect from the date of his taking over the charge.

M. N. Bhartiya, Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 16th March, 1974.

Order

No. RSR-ORG-I-Act/1960

In exercise of the powers vested in me under the provisions of Section 156 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, I, Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu, hereby empower the following Special Recovery Officers of this department to work as «Sale Officer» as defined in Rule 2 Sub Rule (h) of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu, to attach and sell the movable property of defaulters and execute any decree by attachment and sale of such property as per the procedure laid down in Rule 104 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.

1. Shri S. G. Hawaldar.
2. Shri C. G. Konnuri.
3. Shri M. T. Verlekar.

Vaman Sardesai, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa, Daman and Diu.

Panaji, 21st March, 1974.

Industries and Power Department

Notification

No. 5-54-IPD-AR/74

Whereas Shri Abdul Razak has been granted a mining lease under title of concession No. 3/NSD/SOAP/69, dated 27th May, 1969, for soap stone over an area of 81.5000 Ha. situated at Chinchinim village of Salcete Taluka.

And whereas the said Shri Abdul Razak has failed to conduct mining operations in the area leased to him under the aforesaid title of concession and thus has committed breach of the provisions of clause (f) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 27 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.

And whereas a notice bearing No. DI/Mines/72/988 dated 4th April, 1972, has been served on said Shri Abdul Razak requiring him to remedy the said breach within 60 days from the date of receipt of the notice.

And whereas the said Shri Abdul Razak has failed to comply with the notice.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (5) of Rule 27 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby determine the said mining lease granted to Shri Abdul Razak under title of Concession No. 3/NSD/Soap/69 dated 27th May, 1969 with immediate effect without prejudice to any proceedings that may be taken against him.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 29th March, 1974.

Notification

No. 5-54-IPD-AR/74

Whereas Shri Narandas Popotlal has been granted a mining lease under title of Concession No. 1/Fe/69, dated 17th February 1969, for iron ore over an area of 94.5250 Ha. situated at Sulcorna Village of Quepem Taluka.

And whereas the said Shri Narandas Popotlal had failed to conduct mining operations in the area leased to him under the aforesaid title of concession and thus has committed breach of the provision of clause (f) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 27 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.

And whereas a notice bearing No. DI/Mines/72/960 dated 4th April, 1972, had been served to Shri Narandas Popotlal, requiring him to remedy the said breach within 60 days from the date of receipt of the notice.

And whereas the said Shri Narandas Popotlal has failed to comply with the notice.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (5) of Rule 27 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby determine the said mining lease granted to Shri Narandas Popotlal under title of concession No. 1/Fe/69, dated 17th February 1969, with immediate effect without prejudice to any proceedings that may be taken against him.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 29th March, 1974.

Notification

No. IPD/842/72-IST-CONF/74

Sub: Recommendations of Goa State Conference on Implementation of Indian Standards—Acceptance of ...

At the suggestion of the Indian Standards Institutions, a Conference of the Heads of the Departments of Government of Goa, Daman and Diu and the representatives of industry, trade and local bodies was held at Panaji on 2nd September 1972, to explain the advantages that can accrue by adopting Indian Standards and by giving preference to ISI certified goods. The Conference made several recommendations covering (i) implementation of Indian Standards, (ii) recognition of ISI Certification Marks Scheme, and (iii) extending the scope of standardization in the Union Territories of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Having carefully examined all those recommendations, which have been separately circulated to all concerned, the Government of Goa, Daman and Diu, accepts the recommendation pertaining to the following issues which are of greater significance:

- a) Adopting available Indian Standards for the purpose of stores and for guiding design and construction work in public sector (by quoting the relevant standard in tender notifications, etc.).
- b) Making specific reference to Indian Standards in legislation and other orders, when considered appropriate.
- c) Preferring to purchase ISI marked goods, such preference at times may take the form of giving a small advantage in price to the extent of not more than 2% to suppliers of certified goods and relaxing repeat inspection prior to purchase.

Heads of the Departments and others are advised to see that these recommendations are implemented.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 29th March, 1974.

Labour and Information Department

Order

No. LC/1/ID(43)/71-73

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu, on an Industrial Dispute between the management of M/s. Ganaraj Transport, Mapusa, Bardez, Goa, and their workmen employed by them, is hereby published as required vide provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, (XIV of 1947):—

Before Shri M. G. Chitale, Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu

Reference (IT-GDD) No. 27 of 1973

Between

M/s. Ganaraj Transport, Mapusa, (Goa),

And

Their workmen, Sheik Sattar and Luis Inas D'Souza.

In the matter of termination of the services of S. Sattar and L. I. D'Souza.

Appearances:

Shri S. V. Joshi, Advocate, for the company.

Shri George Vaz, General Secretary, Goa Mining Labour Welfare Union, for the workmen.

AWARD

15th February 1974

This is a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (hereinafter referred to as the Act), relating to the dispute between M/s. Ganaraj Transport, Mapusa, (Goa), (hereinafter referred to as the Employers) and the workmen Sheik Sattar and Luis Inas D'Souza. The demand in this reference reads thus:—

«Whether the Management of M/s. Ganaraj Transport, Mapusa (Goa) was justified in terminating the services of Sarvashri Sheik Sattar and Luis Inas D'Souza.

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?».

2. In the statement of claim it is alleged that the employers ply five transport passenger buses to various places in Goa. The employee Sheik Sattar was working as a Cleaner for about four years prior to the termination of his services on 20-5-1971. While working as a cleaner he was paid Rs. 40/- per month with daily food allowance of Rs. 2.50. The notice terminating the services attributed negligence of duty resulting into loss of property to the employers. No enquiry was held before terminating the services on the ground mentioned in the notice. Sheik Sattar offered his explanation by his letter dated 24th May 1973 denying negligence of duty and the alleged consequent loss of property to the employers. The employee S. Sattar contends that the termination of his services is illegal, and claims reinstatement with full back wages.

3. With regard to the other employee L. I. D'Souza, it is alleged in the statement of claim that he started as a Cleaner, but was subsequently promoted as a Conductor on monthly salary of Rs. 75/- and food allowance at Rs. 2.50 per day. He claims to have continuous service for about seven years. The services of this employee were also terminated on 20th May 1971. According to L. I. D'Souza, the reasons for termination were that he was demoted to the post of a cleaner, and his daily allowance was discontinued. The Union took up his cause in this respect, and the dispute was referred to the Labour Commissioner. Conciliation proceedings were held. During those conciliation proceedings the Union wrote a letter proposing some sort of settlement. Instead of responding to the proposal of settlement, the services of L. I. D'Souza were terminated by the letter dated 20th May 1971.

4. The termination of services of both these employees is challenged on the ground of legality as well as propriety.

5. In the written statement the employers deny that the termination of services of the two employees is illegal or improper. They contend that the termination of services is justified on the grounds mentioned in the notices terminating their services. Acts of negligence are mentioned in the written statement. The employers further contend that since an opportunity to offer explanation was given, there was no necessity to hold any further enquiry. In the case of L. I. D'Souza the employers contend that he was found to be unfit for the

job of a conductor, he could not keep proper accounts, and was not honest in issuing tickets, hence his services had to be terminated.

6. Admittedly the two employees are removed on the grounds mentioned in the notices served on them, viz. negligence of duty and misconduct. It is also admitted that no enquiry was held before terminating the services. By the Tribunal's Order dated 12th December 1973, the employers were given an opportunity to justify their action. The parties agreed that instead of leading evidence before the Tribunal in justification of the termination of services, Enquiry Officer should be appointed by the Tribunal. Accordingly with consent of parties Mr. A. Jog was appointed as Enquiry Officer, and he was asked to submit his findings to the Tribunal on or before 11th February 1974. Accordingly Mr. Jog held the enquiry and submitted his findings. On considering the evidence led before him, the Enquiry Officer Mr. Jog held that the employers failed to establish dereliction of duty as a conductor on the part of L. I. D'Souza. So also they failed to establish that L. I. D'Souza was incompetent and inefficient as a conductor on the basis of the reports mentioned in the charge-sheet. At the enquiry before the Enquiry Officer Mr. Jog it was alleged that L. I. D'Souza left the services voluntarily. The Enquiry Officer held that this allegation also was not established.

7. With regard to Sheik Sattar, the Enquiry Officer Mr. Jog held that the charge that two tyres burst on account of negligence on the part of Sheik Sattar viz inflecting the tyres excessively, was not established. With regard to the other allegation that because of negligence on the part of S. Sattar, the employers suffered loss to the extent of Rs. 165/-, the Enquiry Officer held that it was a duty of Sheik Sattar to guard the employers' property, viz. the spares and the bus, and but failed to do so. In substance, this charge was held to be established.

8. Neither Shri Joshi for the employers, nor Shri George Vaz for the employees made a grievance that the enquiry was not conducted properly. On behalf of the employers Shri Joshi contended that the findings of the Enquiry Officer regarding L. I. D'Souza is perverse and they should not be accepted. I find that the Enquiry Officer has carefully considered the evidence led before him and has given cogent reasons for holding that the charges against L. I. D'Souza were not established. Observations in para. 12 of the findings of the Enquiry Officer clearly indicate that the reports for which L. I. D'Souza was sought to be held responsible were in fact not the reports of L. I. D'Souza, they were the reports of Shri Lisboa. The very foundation of the alleged negligence or inefficiency, viz. the reports, they were proved not to be the reports of L. I. D'Souza. There is ample material in support of the findings recorded by the Enquiry Officer, and I am unable to accept Shri Joshi's contention that the findings regarding the case against L. I. D'Souza are perverse. Since the employers have failed to justify their action in terminating the services of L. I. D'Souza even before this Tribunal, it follows that L. I. D'Souza must be reinstated with effect from 20th May 1971. With regard to back-wages, it is admitted that for some time L. I. D'Souza got employment after 20-5-1971 when his services were terminated by the employers. Shri George Vaz for the workmen agreed that half the wages from 20th May 1971 to the date of reinstatement would be fair and acceptable to L. I. D'Souza. Shri Joshi for the employers was unable to point out that L. I. D'Souza got after 20-5-1971 employment with which he could have earned more than half the wages.

9. I must, however, make it clear that while calculating the wages that are allowed by the Tribunal, the daily food allowance of Rs. 2.50 shall be included in the wages. During the enquiry before the Enquiry Officer Mr. Jog the employers themselves contended that it was a part of the wages.

10. For reasons indicated above, I direct that L. I. D'Souza shall be reinstated with effect from 20th May 1971 as a Conductor, and he shall be paid half the wages from 20th May 1971 upto the date of reinstatement, the wages thus awarded shall include the daily food allowance at the rate of Rs. 2.50. The employers shall reinstate D'Souza as early as possible, but in no case later than 15 days from the date this award becomes enforceable.

11. With regard to S. Sattar, Shri George Vaz contends that the findings of the Enquiry Officer should not be accepted, inasmuch as the Enquiry Officer has not attached due importance to the fact that there was none to relieve S. Sattar while guarding the bus. According to S. Sattar he had to go to have his food etc, and if some property was

lost during his absence, he cannot be held responsible. I have examined the evidence in this respect. The employers led evidence to the effect that a reliever was provided for, but the witness concerned was not able even to name the reliever. It is, therefore, difficult to accept the employers' contention that a reliever was provided for. Even assuming that the employers' statement that a reliever was provided is correct, action was taken only against S. Sattar, and not against both S. Sattar and the reliever. There is no conclusive evidence to show that the loss of property was not in S. Sattar's absence, when reliever was on duty. There is considerable force in the contention of Shri Vaz that exclusive liability of S. Sattar is not established. On behalf of the employers it is urged that the Enquiry Officer was right in relying on the admission of S. Sattar himself that the property was lost. It is true that S. Sattar admitted the loss of property worth Rs. 165/-, but from that it does not necessarily follow that he was responsible for that loss. Right from the beginning he denied his responsibility for the loss, and has pointed out above there, is no conclusive evidence to fasten the liability on him. In view of this, S. Sattar also will be entitled to reinstatement as a Cleaner with effect from 20th May 1971.

12. In the case of S. Sattar also Shri George Vaz agreed to accept half the back-wages in full settlement of the claim with regard to back-wages. Shri Joshi for the employers did not state that the employers had any evidence to show that S. Sattar after the termination of his services had employment which would enable him to earn more than half the wages. On behalf of the employers it was urged that in any case S. Sattar should be directed to make good the loss admittedly caused to the employers. I am unable to accept this contention. Since the finding recorded by the Tribunal is that the exclusive responsibility of S. Sattar is not established, it would not be correct to direct S. Sattar to make good the loss. Even assuming that he is responsible, loss of half the wages he is suffering is certainly more than Rs. 165/- the amount of loss caused to the employers, that is adequate punishment, if at all punishment is called for.

13. For the reasons stated above, I direct that the employers shall reinstate S. Sattar as a Cleaner with effect from 20th May 1971, and they shall pay S. Sattar half the back-wages from 20th May 1971 upto the date of reinstatement. The back-wages thus awarded shall include daily food allowance at the rate of Rs. 2.50. The employers shall carry out these directions as early as possible, but in no case later than 15 days from the date this award becomes enforceable.

14. The employers shall bear the cost incurred on account of the Enquiry Officer Mr. Jog. Award as per above findings and directions.

Sd/-

M. G. Chitale
Industrial Tribunal

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, (Labour).

Panaji, 29th March, 1974.

Order

No. 1/378/74-LAB/421

Whereas a copy of an arbitration agreement dated 10-1-1974 entered into between the Management of M/s. Chowgule and Co. Pvt. Ltd., Mormugao Harbour, Goa and the workmen employed under them in the matter of the dispute arising out of the stoppage of work by the workmen of the barges, has been received from the Commissioner, Labour and Employment, Panaji on 19th March, 1974 under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947).

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of the Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu, is pleased to order the publication of the above agreement in the Official Gazette as under:—

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary, Industries and Labour.

Panaji, 29th March, 1974.

FORM (C)

Agreement

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

Between

Names of the parties:

Representing Employers: Shri P. B. R. Rao, General Manager, M/s. Chowgule & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Mormugao Harbour (Goa).

Representing Workmen: Shri R. V. Gaundalkar, Asst. Secretary, Goa Dock Labour Union, Post Box 87, Sambhaji (Goa).

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following dispute to the arbitration of Shri N. K. Vani, Central Government Industrial Tribunal II, Bombay.

(i) Specific matters in dispute:

1. «Whether the alleged stoppage of work from sunset to sunrise from 1-12-1973 to 11-12-1973 and total stoppage of work from 11-12-1973 (noon) to 22-12-1973 (morning) and 8-1-1974 till the date of resumption of work, resorted to by the workmen of the barges of M/s. Chowgule & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Mormugao Harbour (Goa) was legal and justified;

If so/not, are the Management/workmen entitled for any relief?

2. What should be the quantum of wages payable to the workmen of barges from 1-12-1973 to 21-12-1973 (both days inclusive) and from 8-1-1974 till the date of resumption of work?

(ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:

a) M/s. Chowgule & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Mormugao Harbour (Goa).

b) Goa Dock Labour Union, Post Box 87, Sambhaji (Goa).

(iii) Name of the workmen in case he himself is involved in the dispute or the name of the Union, if any, representing the workman or workmen in question: Goa Dock Labour Union, Post Box 87, Sambhaji (Goa).

(iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected: 310 (approx.)

(v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute: 300 (approx.).

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his Award within a period of 90 days or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties:

Representing the Employer: P. B. R. Rao

Representing the workmen: R. V. Gaundalkar

Witnesses:

1. (F. X. D' Cruz).

2. (I. R. D'Mello).

Dated: 10-1-1974.

I accept to arbitrate in this industrial dispute.

N. K. Vani

Central Government Industrial
Tribunal No. II, Bombay

Revenue Department

Notification

No. RD/LRC/47/71-74

In partial modification of Government Notification No. RD/LRC/47/71-73(3357) dated 7-7-73 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act No. 9 of 1969), Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu hereby appoints the Officer mentioned in the Schedule annexed hereto under Column 2, to exercise the powers and discharge the duties and functions of Revenue and Survey Officers during the leave period of Shri Anil Chopra from 11-3-74 to 27-3-74 as mentioned under Column 3 within the jurisdiction mentioned in Column 4 of

the Schedule under the provisions of the Code, with immediate effect.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Officer	Officer under the Code	Jurisdiction
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Shri J. I. Antani	Deputy Collector	South Goa Sub-Division

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. S. Bhatnagar, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 30th March, 1974.

Notification

No. RD/TNC/BND/280/67-73-LXII

In pursuance of the proviso to sub-section (3) of Section 26 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Agricultural Tenancy Act, 1964, the Government hereby specify the following bund/s prescribed in the schedule appended hereto as protective bund/s for the purpose of the said proviso.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the bund	Village	Taluka	Approximate area protected (in Hectares)	Description of the bund
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Olleampoichem Casan.	Carmona	Salcete	3	The bund starting with the paddy field belonging to Shri Carmelino Machado, running marginal to the tributary of river Sal and ending with the paddy field belonging to Shri Constancio Costa and situated at Carmona of Salcete Taluka.
2	a) Vitola Cantor-lachi Patti. b) Mulenda Cantor c) St. Paul	Salvador do Mundo	Bardez	50	The bund starting with the paddy field «Vitola Cantor-lachi Patti» belonging to Smt. Meera D. Fadte, running marginal to the river Mapusa and ending with the paddy field «St. Paul» belonging to Comunidade of Sefula and situated at Salvador do Mundo of Bardez Taluka.
3	Marque Cantor	Daugim	Goa	4.00	The bund starting with the paddy field «Marque Cantor» and ending with the same, belonging to Dr. Alvorim Dias of Goa Taluka and running marginal to the tributary of River Mandovi.
4	Shirdottem Bati	Shiroda	Ponda	200	The bund starting from the paddy field belonging to the Comunidade of Siroda called «Shirdottem Casan» and running marginal to the river Zuari and ending with the paddy field «Bati» belonging to Dr. Vithal Shirodkar, in Ponda Taluka.
5	Dongri Sheth	Dongri	Goa	a	The bund starting with the paddy field «Dongri Sheth» belonging to Shri Ramadas Subha Amonkar, running marginal to the tributary of canal Cumbarjua and ending with the paddy field «Dongri Sheth» belonging to Shri Ramadas Subha Amonkar and situated at Dongri of Goa Taluka.
6	1. Goallim Khasan 2. Bhat 3. Naye Khasan 4. Goallim Khasan	Goallim Moula	Goa	90	The bund starting with the paddy field «Goullim Khasan» belonging to Hospicio de Sagrado de Coracao de Maria, Margao, running marginal to the tributary of river Sirdona and ending with the paddy field «Gaulim Khasan» belonging to Hospicio de Sagrado de Coração de Maria, Margao, situated at Goullim Moula of Goa Taluka.
7	Casan Gundo	Parxem	Pernem	50.60	The bund starting with the paddy field «Casan Gundo» belonging to Shri Vishnum Gopal Parxencar Dessai and others running marginal to the tributary of river Chapora and ending with the paddy field «Casan Gundo» belonging to Shri Vishnu Gopal Parxencar Dessai and others, situated at Parxem of Pernem Taluka.
8	Cantorla	Pomourpa	Bardez	2.5	The bund starting with the paddy field «Cantorla» belonging to Shri Mahambre of Mapusa running marginal to the tributary of river Mandovi and ending with the paddy field «Cantorla» belonging to Mrs. Cleto Pereira Lobo and situated at Pomburpa of Bardez Taluka.
9	Poingir port	Mayem	Bicholim	60	The bund starting with the paddy field «Poingir Port» belonging to Shri Mariano Jose Souza, running marginal to the river Aldona and ending with paddy field «Poingir Port» belonging to M/s. Chowgule & Co. and situated at Mayem of Bicholim Taluka.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

F. A. Figueiredo, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 2nd April, 1974.

Notification

No. RD/LQN/47/74

Whereas it appears to the Appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») is likely to be needed for public purpose viz construction of Querim-Tiracol Road.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act») that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Government Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji.
2. The Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji.
3. The Superintending Engineer, I, Circle I, P. W. D., Panaji.
4. The Executive Engineer Works Division II, P. W. D., Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Government Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Sr. No.	Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Pernem	Querim	1	—	Shri Salvador D'Souza from Tiracol and S. J. Pereira from Queri. North: Salvador D'Souza and others. South: Public road. East: Jivaji Yeshwantrao Kerkar. West: Salvador D'Souza and others.	39.00
2.	— do —	— do —	2	—	Shri Jivaji Yeshwantrao Kerkar from Queri. North: Jivaji Yeshwantrao Kerkar. South: Public road. East: Yeshwantrao N. Kerkar. West: Salvador D'Souza and others.	187.50
3.	— do —	— do —	3	—	Shri Yeshwantrao Narayan Kerkar from Queri. North: Yeshwantrao Narayan Kerkar. South: Public road. East: Yeshwant N. Kerkar. West: Jivaji Y. Kerkar.	42.50
Total						269.00

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. S. Bhatnagar, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 13th March, 1974.

Notification

No. RD/LQN/49/74

Whereas it appears to the Appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») is likely to be needed for public purpose viz Augmentation and extension of water supply scheme at Nani Daman Land Acquisition for overhead tank at Khariwadi.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act») that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the

said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Government Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Collector of Daman, Daman to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Daman, Daman.
2. The Executive Engineer, Work Division VII P.W.D., Daman.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Collector of Daman, Daman for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Government Gazette.

SCHEDULE
(Description of the said land)

Sr. No.	Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Daman	Nani-Daman Khariwadi	—	552/3	Bhula Ravia	04
2	— do —	— do —	—	552/4	Ranchhod Lallu Kasana Lallu Narshin Lallu	156
Total						160.00 sq. mts.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. S. Bhatnagar, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 13th March, 1974.

Office of the Collector and D. C. A.

Order:

No. RB/RVN/89/73/303

Sub: Government land 'Sem denominação especial' situated at Cotarii, Sanguem, assigned under Alvara No. 1621 dated 8-8-1945 to Shri Pondorinata Mucunda S. Salelicar of Sanquelim now at Sanguem.

Ref: Government letter No. RD/LND/168/68 dated 28-3-1969.

The reversion order No. LS/Reversion/73 dated 30-12-67, published in the Government Gazette No. 42, II Series dated 18-1-1968, is hereby cancelled.

S. R. Arya, Collector of Goa.

Panaji, 20th March, 1974.

ment of Entertainment Tax the tickets issued for the marathi drama «AJAB NYAYA VARTU LACHA» to be staged in Goa organised by Bombay Marathi Sahitya Sangh, Bombay in the following places on dates and time as shown against them: —

Place	Date	Time
Mapusa	10-4-1974	} 10-15 p. m.
Sambhaji	11-4-1974	
Bicholim	12-4-1974	
Margao	13-4-1974	

2. The exemption is subject to the condition that the entire proceeds accrued from the drama without deduction of expenses are credited to the funds of the Bombay Marathi Sahitya Sangh, Bombay and are utilised for the development of the marathi dramas only.

3. Shri Dinkar Vithal Amonkar, Bombay should submit the accounts to the Commissioner of Entertainment Tax, Panaji, as and when the same are required by her.

4. All the tickets proposed to be sold for this drama should bear the seal of the prescribed officer or of his office.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. S. Sukhatankar, Under Secretary (Finance).

Panaji, 4th April, 1974.

Finance Department (Revenue)

Notification

No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/part/8/74

Read: Government Notification No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/part/8/644/74 dated 13-3-1974

In continuation of the Government Notification No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/part/8/644/74 dated 13-3-1974 Government is pleased to exempt from the Entertainment Tax the additional show of the marathi drama «MAWALI» organised by Welcome Theatres, Bombay proposed to be staged at Margao on 21-4-1974 at 10.15 a. m.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. S. Sukhatankar, Under Secretary (Finance).

Panaji, 4th April, 1974.

Notification

No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/part/8/849/74

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 5 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Entertainment Tax Act, 1964, Government is pleased to exempt from the pay-

Corrigendum

No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/part/8/644/74

Read: — Government Notification No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/Part/8/644/74 dated 13-3-1974.

The places and dates indicated in the Government Notification No. Fin(Rev)/2-41/part/8/644/74 dated 13-3-1974 in respect of the marathi drama «Mawali» organised by the Welcome Theatres, Bombay may be read as follows: —

Place	Date	Time
Sambhaji	18-4-1974	} 10-15 p. m.
Mapusa	19-4-1974	
Margao	20-4-1974	
Sanvordem	21-4-1974	
Panaji	22-4-1974	
Ponda	23-4-1974	
Bicholim	24-4-1974	

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

S. S. Sukhatankar, Under Secretary (Finance).

Panaji, 30th April, 1974.

GOVT. PRINTING PRESS — GOA

(Imprensa Nacional — Goa)

PRICE — 0-85 Ps.